

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,872	04/02/2002	Jean-Pierre Blareau	33339/242251	8072
826 7590 07/26/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP			EXAMINER	
	ERICA PLAZA	E 4000	DAVIS, RUTH A	
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 40 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/019,872	BLAREAU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ruth A. Davis	1651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status	,		•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M.	av 2007	•			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in t	the application	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10/	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO 152			
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		Action of form PTO-152.			
_	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b)  Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)(	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been wereived	•			
			an Na			
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>					
	application from the International Bureau		d in this National Stage			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		od.			
		or the defining depicts flot rederive				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte			
3) L Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) D Notice of Informal P				
. ape		6)	, ·			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Request for Continued Examination, amendment and response filed on May 8,2007 and April 3,2007 respectively, have been received and entered into the case. Claims 1-5,7-10 and 12-15 are pending and have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been fully considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are drawn to a composition that is made by bioconversion of a substrate with bacteria, however the claims require that the bacteria are not live. Thus, the method by which bioconversion occurs is not enabled.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to a milk product that does not contain live Bifidobacteria.

However the specification fails to disclose such a product. While a product that does not contain live bacteria is described, the limitation of "does not include live Bifidobacteria" is not supported. This is a new matter rejection.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and its dependents are drawn to a milk product, however are rendered vague and indefinite because it is unclear how a product can undergo bioconversion with bacteria that is not live.

The claims are further indefinite because it is unclear if the composition contains live or dead bacteria.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steven (US 4853146).

Applicant claims an immunostimulant milk product, that is obtained by a bioconversion of a milk substrate with Bifidobacteria under conditions unfavorable to fermentation by the bacteria, and sterilizing and/or desiccating the milk product formed, wherein the product does not contain live Bifidobacteria. During conversion, there are about  $10^7 - 10^9$  CFU per ml milk substrate, and the final population is from  $10^5 - 10^9$  CFU per ml product; the pH of the substrate is about 6.3 - 7 and the final product pH of about 6 - 7; the substrate is in contact with the bacteria for about 6 - 7 hours; and the strain of Bifidobacterium is CNCM I-2219. Specifically, the milk product is a food product that is dehydrated.

Stevens teaches a milk product composition that is sterilized, does not contain live Bifidobacteria, and has a pH of 6-7 (abstract). The product can be powdered (dehydrated) or dehydrated (abstract, claims).

Although Stevens does not teach the claimed method by which the product is made, these limitations are considered to be product by process type limitations. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the claimed product is the same or obvious from a product in the prior art (i.e. the product disclosed in the cited reference), the

claim is unpatentable even though the reference product was made by a different process. When the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be identical with or slightly different than the claimed product-by-process, rejections under 35 U.S.C 102 and/or 35 U.S.C 103 are proper. (MPEP 2113) In addition, while Stevens does not specifically identify the product is immunostimulatory, the products appear to be the same. Thus, the composition of Stevens must also, inherently be immunostimulatory.

Therefore, the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the references do not teach the compositions comprising unviable Bifidobacteria.

However, in light of the rejection above, this argument is not found persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth A. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 -3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ruth A. Davis/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

July 20, 2007